



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/726,911	12/03/2003	Hong-Kook Min	8836-214 (IB12285-US)	4739
22150	7590	08/22/2006	EXAMINER	
F. CHAU & ASSOCIATES, LLC 130 WOODBURY ROAD WOODBURY, NY 11797			LANDAU, MATTHEW C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2815	

DATE MAILED: 08/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/726,911

Applicant(s)

MIN ET AL.

Examiner

Matthew Landau

Art Unit

2815

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 July 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5, 7-16 and 36 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5, 8-11, 14-16 and 36 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 7, 12 and 13 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 5, and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ogura et al. (US Pat. 6,388,293, hereinafter Ogura) in view of Kawahara et al. (US Pat. 6,785,165, hereinafter Kawahara).

Regarding claims 1-3 and 36, Figure 19 of Ogura discloses a control gate pattern (132L/242L) disposed over a semiconductor substrate 10 and comprising a tunnel insulation pattern 132a (silicon oxide), a trap insulation pattern (132b) (silicon nitride), a blocking insulation pattern 132c (silicon oxide) and a control gate 242L, which are stacked (col. 18, lines 5-15 and col. 20, lines 19-21); a selection gate electrode 241 disposed over the semiconductor substrate at one side and extending over substantially the entire top portion of the control gate pattern; a gate insulation pattern 401/402/103 interposed between the selection gate electrode and the semiconductor substrate, and between the selection gate electrode and the control gate pattern; a cell channel region 212L/211/212R comprising a first channel region 212L defined in the semiconductor substrate under the control gate pattern and a second channel region 211R defined in the semiconductor substrate under the selection gate electrode; and drain/source regions (221/222) formed in the semiconductor substrate at respective sides of the cell channel region, the drain region 221 being in contact with the first channel region 212L and the source

Art Unit: 2815

region being 222 in contact with the second channel region 212R. The difference between Ogura and the claimed invention is the gate insulation pattern covers the entire sidewall of the selection gate electrode. Figure 45 of Kawahara discloses a gate insulation pattern between a selection gate SG1 and a control gate MG1, between the selection gate and the substrate, covering the entire sidewall of the selection gate. In view of such teaching, it would have been obvious to the ordinary artisan at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Ogura by covering the selection gate sidewalls with an insulation pattern as taught by Kawahara for the purpose of protecting and isolating the selection gate electrode.

Regarding claim 5, Figure 19 of Ogura discloses the thickness of the gate insulation pattern 401/402/103 (at region 103) is less than the sum of the thickness of the tunnel insulation pattern, the trap insulation pattern, and the blocking insulation pattern.

Claims 1-5, 8-11, 14-16, and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lung (US PGPub 2002/0145160) in view of Kawahara.

Regarding claims 1-3 and 36, Figure 2 of Lung discloses a control gate pattern (206 and 205) disposed over a semiconductor substrate 201 and comprising a tunnel insulation pattern, a trap insulation pattern, a blocking insulation pattern, and a control gate electrode 206, which are stacked. Note that layer 205 is an ONO layer (paragraph [0023]). Therefore, the first oxide layer is the tunnel insulation pattern, the nitride layer is the trap insulation pattern, and the second oxide layer is the blocking insulation pattern. Figure 2 of Lung further discloses a selection gate electrode 207 disposed over the semiconductor substrate at one side and extending over

Art Unit: 2815

substantially the entire top portion of the control gate pattern; a gate insulation pattern (208 and part of 205) interposed between the selection gate and the semiconductor substrate, and between the selection gate and the control gate; a cell channel region comprising a first channel region defined in the semiconductor substrate under the control gate pattern and a second channel region defined in the substrate under the selection gate; and drain/source regions (203/202) formed in the substrate at respective sides of the cell channel region, the drain region 203 being in contact with the first channel region and the source region 202 being in contact with the second channel region. Note that the labels “control gate” and “select gate” are essentially a recitation of intended use that does not structurally distinguish the claimed invention over the prior art. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In this case, the electrode 206 is capable of operating as either a select gate or a control gate, depending on how the voltages are applied. The difference between Lung and the claimed invention is the gate insulation pattern covers the entire sidewall of the selection gate electrode. Figure 45 of Kawahara discloses a gate insulation pattern between a selection gate SG1 and a control gate MG1, between the selection gate and the substrate, covering the entire sidewall of the selection gate. In view of such teaching, it would have been obvious to the ordinary artisan at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Lung by covering the selection gate sidewalls with an insulation pattern as taught by Kawahara for the purpose of protecting and isolating the selection gate electrode.

Art Unit: 2815

Regarding claims 4 and 35, Figure 2 of Lung discloses the selection gate electrode 207 covers one sidewall and the top surface of the control gate electrode 206 and is self-aligned to the other sidewall of the control gate electrode.

Regarding claim 5, Figure 2 of Lung discloses the thickness of the gate insulation pattern (portion 208) is less than the total thickness of the tunnel insulation pattern, the trap insulation pattern, and the blocking insulation pattern (all of which make up layer 205).

Regarding claims 8-10 and 14, Figure 2 of Lung discloses a first control gate pattern (206 and 205) disposed over a semiconductor substrate 201 and comprising a tunnel insulation pattern, a trap insulation pattern, a blocking insulation pattern, and a control gate electrode 206, which are stacked. Note that layer 205 is an ONO layer (paragraph [0023]). Therefore, the first oxide layer is the tunnel insulation pattern, the nitride layer is the trap insulation pattern, and the second oxide layer is the blocking insulation pattern. Figure 2 of Lung further discloses a first selection gate electrode 207 disposed over the semiconductor substrate at one side and extending over substantially the entire top portion of the control gate pattern; a first gate insulation pattern (208 and part of 205) interposed between the selection gate and the semiconductor substrate, and between the selection gate and the control gate; and a first cell channel region comprising a first channel region defined in the semiconductor substrate under the control gate pattern and a second channel region defined in the substrate under the selection gate. Note that the labels “control gate” and “select gate” are essentially a recitation of intended use that does not structurally distinguish the claimed invention over the prior art. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the

Art Unit: 2815

prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In this case, the electrode 206 is capable of operating as either a select gate or a control gate, depending on how the voltages are applied. The difference between Lung and the claimed invention is a second control gate pattern, a second selection gate electrode, a second gate insulation pattern, and a second cell channel region, wherein the first and second selection gate electrodes are disposed symmetrically over the substrate. Figures 40-45 of Kawahara discloses first and second control gate patterns (MG1 and MG2) and first and second select gate electrodes (SG1 and SG2) disposed symmetrically on a substrate, wherein the control gate patterns are disposed between the selection gate electrodes. In view of such teaching, it would have been obvious to the ordinary artisan at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Lung by including a second set of electrodes on the substrate disposed in the manner taught by Kawahara. The ordinary artisan would have been motivated to modify Lung in the manner described above for the purpose of increasing the capacity of the memory cell.

Regarding claim 11, Figure 2 of Lung discloses the first selection gate electrode 207 covers one sidewall and the top surface of the first control gate electrode 206 and is self-aligned to the other sidewall of the control gate electrode. Therefore, it would also be obvious to second set of electrodes arranged in this manner for the purpose of simplifying the production process.

Regarding claim 15, Figure 2 of Lung discloses a first source region 202 in contact with the second channel region of the first cell channel region and a drain region 203 in contact with the first channel region of the first cell channel region. It would have been further obvious to have the first and second sets of electrodes arranged with a common drain region as taught by Kawahara (Figure 45) for the purpose of minimizing later space occupied by the memory cell.

Art Unit: 2815

When arranged in this manner, a second source region will be in contact with the second channel region of the second cell channel region, and the common drain region will be in contact with the first channel region of the second cell channel region.

Regarding claim 16, Figure 2 of Lung discloses the thickness of the gate insulation pattern (portion 208) is less than the total thickness of the tunnel insulation pattern, the trap insulation pattern, and the blocking insulation pattern (all of which make up layer 205).

#### ***Allowable Subject Matter***

Claims 7, 12, and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### ***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments filed June 13, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Lung does not disclose a gate insulation pattern between the selection gate and the substrate and that "The component (205) is not a gate insulation pattern, but a carrier trapping dielectric layer". Since layer 205 is dielectric layer (electrical insulator), and it is between a gate electrode and the substrate, it can be considered a gate insulation pattern. The fact that it can function as a charge-trapping layer does not change the fact that it is a gate insulator.



Art Unit: 2815

Applicant's remaining arguments are moot in light of the new grounds of rejection presented above.

***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew C. Landau whose telephone number is (571) 272-1731.

The examiner can normally be reached from 8:30 AM - 5:30 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kenneth Parker can be reached on (571) 272-2298. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571) 273-8300 for regular communications and (571) 273-8300 for After Final communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should any questions arise regarding access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

  
Matthew C. Landau

August 21, 2006